1	Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)	
2	Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052)	
3	Sunny S. Sarkis (SBN 258073) SCHNEIDER WALLACE	
3	COTTRELL KONECKY LLP	
4	2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400	
5	Emeryville, CA 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100	
6	TSchneider@schneiderwallace.com MWeiler@schneiderwallace.com	
7	SSarkis@schneiderwallace.com	
8	Jason H. Kim (SBN 220279) SCHNEIDER WALLACE	
9	COTTRELL KONECKY LLP	
10	300 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2700 Los Angeles, California 90071	
11	Telephone: (415) 421-7100 JKim@schneiderwallace.com	
12	JAmie schieder wanace.com	
13		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	NORTHER DISTRIC	
16		
17	MARK YOUNG, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,	Case No. 3:22-cv-03912-RFL
18	7	PLAINTIFF MARK YOUNG'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE
19	Plaintiff,	IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO
	v.	SOLANA LABS, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S
20 21		CONSOLIDATED AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
	SOLANA LABS, INC.; THE SOLANA FOUNDATION; ANATOLY YAKOVENKO;	Judge: Hon. Rita F. Lin
22	MULTICOIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	Date: August 6, 2024 Time: 10:00 a.m.
23	LLC; KYLE SAMANI,	Court: Courtroom 15 – 18th Floor
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
27 28		
	1	

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Plaintiff Mark Young ("Young") respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of the contents of the following documents which are not subject to reasonable dispute and are attached as exhibits to the Declaration of Matthew S. Weiler in Support of Plaintiff Mark Young's Opposition to Solana Lab's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint ("Weiler Decl."):

Weiler Decl. Ex.	Document
1	Printout from Exodus Movement webpage concerning how to swap crypto assets, available at https://www.exodus.com/support/en/articles/8598630-how-do-i-swap-crypto-in-exodus-swap (last visited May 13, 2024).
2	Printout from Yahoo Finance reflecting SOL securities price at 2:14 pm on May 10, 2024, https://www. https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/SOL-USD (last visited May 13, 2024).

DISCUSSION

Under Federal Rule of Evidence 201, a court may take judicial notice of "a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it: (1) is generally known within the trial court's territorial jurisdiction; or (2) can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). The court "must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information." Fed. R. Evid. 201(c). Each of the documents identified above can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned and therefore this Court should take judicial notice of them.

Exhibits 1 and 2 are website downloads from Exodus Movement and Yahoo through publicly available internet pages. This is the type of material courts may judicially notice. A court

"may take judicial notice of the fact that an internet article is available to the public, but they may not take judicial notice of the truth of the matters asserted " *Nelson Bros. Prof'l Real Estate LLC v. Jaussi*, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 219769 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2017) (citing *Spy Optic, Inc. v. Alibaba.com, Inc.*, 163 F. Supp. 3d 755, 762 (C.D. Cal. 2015) (granting request for judicial notice of web pages on defendant's website)); *Kim v. Kihwan Yoon*, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 185924, *3 (N.D. Cal., Sept. 28, 2021) ("Information about the stock price of publicly traded companies, including such information in the form of a stock price chart from Yahoo! Finance, is the proper subject of judicial notice").

Exhibit 1 contains screen shots and videos that describe how to use the Exodus platform to purchase crypto assets. Exhibits 1 is appropriate for judicial notice because they describe the functioning and design of the Exodus platform used by Plaintiff Young. *See Knievel v. ESPN*, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076 (9th Cir. 2005) (taking judicial notice of a screenshot of the webpage containing an allegedly defamatory even though it was never referenced directly in the complaint). Judicial

notice may be taken concerning internet web pages that show the "overall functioning and design

of [an] app" such as Exodus. Fife v. Sci. Games Corp., 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 212908, *6 (W.D.

SCHNEIDER WALLACE

Matthew S. Weiler

Emeryville, CA 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100

COTTRELL KONECKY LLP

Todd M. Schneider (SBN 158253)

Matthew S. Weiler (SBN 236052)

tschneider@schneiderwallace.com

mweiler@schneiderwallace.com ssarkis@schneiderwallace.com

Sunny S. Sarkis (SBN 258073) 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400

Wash Dec. 18, 2018).

Dated: May 13, 2024.

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

-2-

PL.'S RJN ISO OPP. TO MOTION TO DISMISS

Case No. 3:22-cv-03912-RFL